



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/023,808	12/21/2001	Pere Obrador	10019005	4035
7590 12/23/2004 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER	
			GENCO, BRIAN C	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2615	
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/023,808	OBRADOR ET AL.				
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian C Genco	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
······································						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.		- A'male 6'lad ana adama				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attached.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.⊠ The drawing correction filed on <u>08 July 2004</u> is a)⊠ approved or b)⊡ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/023,808

Art Unit: 2615

Applicant argues that assuming the combination of references is valid, the moving image frames are not buffered "when one or more high resolution still images are acquired during the video frame acquisition", indicating that this phrase is meant to indicate that buffering of video frames occurs in response to the acquisition of a still image.

In response, Examiner notes that as asserted in the previous office action the combination of Juen and Suh teaches that the buffering of video fames always occurs. In particular, as video frames are captured they are processed as taught by Juen, wherein while the frames are being processed they are buffered as taught by Suh. As such, as broadly as claimed the combination meets the limitations of the claims since the video frames are always buffered, then when a still image is acquired the video frames are still buffered.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., video frames are buffered in response to acquiring a still image) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Applicant argues that Juen and Suh does not disclose emptying the frame buffer by the processor after the high resolution still images are processed, transmitted or stored.

In response Examiners notes that since the buffer is storing the video images it would need to be emptied so that if a subsequent frame of video image is taken there is still room in the frame buffer to buffer the video images as would be readily apparent to one skilled in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/023,808

Art Unit: 2615

Examiner notes that this takes place after the still images are stored, namely stored in a frame

buffer as shown in Figs. 2 and 5.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian C. Genco who can be reached by phone at 703-305-7881 or

by fax at 703-746-8325. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8:30am

to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Christensen can be reached on 703-308-9644. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the customer service office whose telephone number is 703-308-4357.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian C Genco Examiner

Page 3

Art Unit 2615

December 20, 2004

ANDREW CHRISTENSEN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600